



MRD 12/13/02

Dkt. 0575/50865/JPW/AJM/MML

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Bartsch et al.

Serial No. : 08/656,811

Examiner: Michael Pak

Filed : June 3, 1996

Group Art Unit: 1646

For : METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG-TERM MEMORY IN A
SUBJECT AND USES THEREOF

1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
September 23, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Box AF

Sir:

AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO APRIL 22, 2002 FINAL OFFICE ACTION
AND PETITION FOR A TWO-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME

This Amendment is submitted in response to the April 22, 2002 Final Office Action issued in connection with the above-identified patent application. A response to the April 22, 2002 Office Action was originally due July 22, 2002. Applicants hereby request a two-month extension of time for responding. The fee for a two-month extension is TWO-HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$200.00) for a small entity. Applicants have previously established small entity status. A check for \$200.00 is enclosed herewith. Thus, a response to the April 22, 2002 Office Action is now due September 22, 2002. However, since September 22, 2002 falls on a Sunday, a response is due on the following Monday, September 23, 2002. Accordingly, this Amendment is being timely filed.

Please amend the subject application as follows:

10/01/2002 RNDDAF1 00000069 08656811

01 FC:216

200.00 00

May to be
Entered by
RCE

MRD 12/13/02



Application No. 08/656,811
Filing Date June 3, 1996
Inventor(s) Dusan Bartsch, et al.
Group Art Unit 1646
Examiner Name M. Pak
Attorney Docket No. 50865/JPW/AJM/MML

#37

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

December 13, 2002

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Box RCE
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

DEC 9 2004

for scanning
purpose only
already present

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

This is a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

1. ☒ Consider the unentered amendment/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on September 23, 2002.
2. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____.
3. ☒ Enclosed is/are
 - ☐ Amendment/Reply.
 - ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s).
 - ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS).
 - ☐ PTO-1449
 - ☐ Copy of IDS Citation
 - ☒ Other: Preliminary Communication
4. ☒ Small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27 is claimed.
 - ☐ A verified statement to establish small entity status is enclosed.
 - ☒ A verified statement to establish small entity status was previously filed and such status is still proper and desired.
5. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 370.00 is enclosed